



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No. 13

PFIZER, INC
150 EAST 42ND STREET
5TH FLOOR - STOP 49
NEW YORK, NY 10017-5612

COPY MAILED

JUN 22 2004

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
John A. Ragan :
Application No. 10/008,294 : **ON PETITION**
Filed: December 3, 2001 :
Attorney Docket No. PC10847A :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b),
filed June 3, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **dismissed**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be
submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this
decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are
permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover
letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)."

This application became abandoned for failure to timely reply
within three months to the Office action mailed July 8, 2003.
Accordingly, this application became abandoned on October 9,
2003. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on March 25, 2004.

Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now
provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a
petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application pursuant
to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR
1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

(1) the required reply, unless previously filed.
In a nonprovisional application abandoned for
failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met
by the filing of a continuing application. In an
application, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay

the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof.

(2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

(3) a statement that the **entire** delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional; and

(4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(d).

The instant petition does not satisfy requirements (1) and (3) above.

Regarding Item (1):

Petitioner states, "The reply/or fee to the above-noted Office action in the form of Continuation Application is enclosed herewith." The application file has been carefully reviewed and the aforementioned Continuation Application cannot be located with the petition nor in the file.

Regarding Item (3):

The statement that "the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional," has not been submitted. It is noted that the second page of the instant petition is not found in the application file.

Accordingly, the petition must be dismissed.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop Petition
 Commissioner for Patents
 Box 1450
 Alexandria, VA 22313

By FAX: (703) 872-9306
Attn: Office of Petitions

By hand: Customer Service Window
2011 South Clark Place
Crystal Plaza Two (left side entrance of building)
Arlington, VA

Telephone inquiries should be directed to the undersigned at
(703) 306-9200.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Ed Tannouse', with a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Edward J. Tannouse
Petitions Attorney
Office of Petitions
United States Patent and Trademark Office